fight to block Jim Crow branches (ephemistically referred to as “separate charters” or “dual charters”). It was a fight that Hill had been involved in since 1917 when separate black NALC branches were first introduced in the South ostensibly to “reduce conflict” and bring in more white dues-paying members who would not join an integrated union. With Hill and Casey leading the charge at the next convention in 1919, those branches were dissolved in large part because of a widespread notion within the NALC that segregated union branches had been implicitly banned by the 1917 Supreme Court Buchanan v. Warley decision outlawing residential segregation.\(^3\)

Some twenty years after Jim Crow’s removal from the NALC, however, a white delegate from New Orleans Branch 33, J. M. Bistowish, was confidently lecturing his audience of mostly white and a few African American letter carriers as to why they should approve “separate charters.” First, there was the “custom and tradition” of segregation, he noted, quickly adding, “We are not here to discuss the idea of segregation, whether it is for good or for bad. Our sole motive here is to get in those members in the South, numbering approximately five thousand, who do not belong to any organization.” He rationalized racial separation, using the example of segregated southern churches to illustrate how unfair it was for white southerners to be prohibited from practicing segregation in their union halls, especially since segregation was alive and well in northern cities and states. Bistowish concluded pitifully by citing what he deemed the injustice being perpetrated by African American postal workers in Mississippi. “Now in the State of Mississippi, in Jackson particularly, they have an organization where the whites do not happen to have the charter—the colored have it, and they will not admit any member of the white group into that branch.”\(^4\) Bistowish, from the all-white New Orleans branch, was on shaky ground with both his allusion to segregated churches and his claim of white letter carrier exclusion. Hill angrily fired back:

Mr. Chairman, I want to puncture something that the first speaker said. He called your attention to the fact that the church is divided. Who divided the church, but the devil? (Laughter.) And [Bistowish] called your attention to the segregation down in the South. Who did that but the devil? And what did we do to alleviate that kind of segregation? We took up arms and fought against it.\(^5\)

The “devil,” according to Hill, was white supremacy, whether it was in the House of the Lord, the House of Labor, or American society as a whole. Opposing that devil was a major goal of African American freedom struggles throughout the 19th century. In connecting the Civil War with black civil rights, and the union of the states with trade unions, Hill and other militant black postal workers defied the post–Civil War national reconciliation that resulted in the abandonment of African Americans to white supremacists in the South.\(^6\) In 1917 when Hill first blasted the
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Jim Crow branches, he invoked equality, faith, patriotism, and internationalism.

Mr. President, I regret that I must rail as an American citizen and a member of the greatest organization in the world which seemingly is purely democratic and stands for all men, to defend myself as a black man to be separated from other Americans just because I was born black. I was in Argentina, where they had an organization of this kind, and there were [no separations]. When the great postwar convention met in Canada, no such action as this was taken. . . . I was in the Spanish-American War. . . . I have a boy now who is in the trenches in France. . . . I hope you gentlemen [whom I] know are all Christians will remember that the Lord said, "God is no respecter of persons." I believe in the proposition of all men up and no man down, and this is wrong. (Applause.)

By 1939 there were even more voices raised against the existence of segregated branches. Barney Bernstein, a white postal worker in Chicago's Branch 11, won both boon and applause for citing the Reconstruction Amendments to the U.S. Constitution as a basis for blocking Jim Crow branches (something he had also pointed out at the 1935 convention). "This little baby pops up every two years, clothed in new clothes," he exploded. This separation "is not designed for the purpose of anything but hate. . . ." Not only did the convention vote down separate charters, but it also took the unusual step (for the NALC) of passing a resolution introduced by members of the Detroit Branch No. 1, calling for the abolition of the discriminatory civil service application photograph that had been used since 1913 to screen out African American applicants. The National Federation of Post Office Clerks (NFPOC), led by leftist Brooklyn Local 251, passed a similar resolution at their 1941 convention. But it was the National Alliance of Postal Employees (NAPE), or the National Alliance, the historically black union, that had led that campaign since 1913, and was instrumental, along with the NAACP, in finally convincing Democratic President Franklin D. Roosevelt to abolish the photograph requirement in 1940. Yet less than two years later at the September 1941 NALC convention in Los Angeles, the majority of delegates, this time with the approval of the Resolutions Committee and deferring to southern whites' shibboleths about "tradition," voted to reinstitute Jim Crow branches. They did so over the impassioned objections of delegates such as Barney Bernstein, B. P. Newman from Jackson, Mississippi; Emanuel Kushelewitz from New York City; and Ray Lieberman and Pete Craig from Detroit. Newman and Craig, both African American, were also National Alliance members. This time, white supremacy trumped arguments that compared Jim Crow to "global fascism." What would it take to defeat Jim Crow in the government service unions?

CIVIL RIGHTS UNIONISM V. JIM CROW UNIONISM

Union convention minutes and journals, government documents, and oral histories document the campaigns against racially separate union locals or "branches," as the NAPE and NALC called them. The struggles were led by P.M.E. Hill and other activists who had been typically "under the radar" of recent studies that often separate labor and civil rights campaigns. In addition to the NALC, I also examine three other major postal unions: the NAPE, the NFPOC, and the National Postal Union (NPu). The NFPOC was, like the NALC, an American Federation of Labor (AFL) affiliate that also had a small but vocal African American minority. The NPu, formed from a 1958 walkout by African American and white postal clerks protesting among other things the NFPOC's segregated locals, was both independent and industrial like the NAPE. While postal management historically bears primary responsibility for constructing white privilege and African American discrimination in the post office, postal unions for the most part supported that system or acquiesced in it until challenged by an African American-led alternative. Fighting Jim Crow union branches and locals was a key part of the broader struggle by African American postal workers and their allies against white supremacy in the post office and its unions. It was led by the NAPE, formed in 1913 by African American railway clerks excluded from the Railway Mail Association. African American postal workers (many with both NAPE and other postal union memberships) battled Jim Crow branches and locals in the two largest postal unions (the NALC and the NFPOC) as part of the overall fight for equality that included issues of union leadership, postal hiring, promotion, discipline, and occupational segregation.

Jim Crow union branches and locals were not anachronistic institutions marginal to the overall labor struggle. Instead, they symbolized important white supremacist choices made by white organized labor that often crippled worker unity. Those separate and unequal choices hampered African American competition with whites for jobs and union representation. Jim Crow locals were contested sites and the debates over their existence revealed starkly the assumptions among postal unionists, spoken and unspoken, concerning white privilege, patriotism, and labor peace and prosperity. Nor were segregated unions unique to the post office before the 1940s. While the majority of unionized workers were AFL members, many unions either segregated or excluded African Americans. Even the more radical Congress of Industrial Organizations (CIO) had some member unions with Jim Crow locals from its origins in 1938 to 1955 when it merged with the AFL creating the AFL-CIO. The federation did not ban all Jim Crow locals in its affiliated unions until 1963. Some researchers have focused on the "long civil rights movement" that they claim began in the 1930s with the organizing of black workers by white communists and other labor union activists, and that was eventually interrupted by the federal government's anticommunist crusades of the late 1940s and early 1950s. I argue that the effectiveness of anticommunism in the postwar era derived mainly from white supremacy, which was the chief agent in labor's divisions long before the coming of the Cold War. Manipulation by elites is not
sufficient explanation for white worker opposition or indifference to the fight for racial equality within organized labor. Moreover, most of the labor activists opposed to racial segregation in unions were African American. I believe that African American civil rights activists, including black postal workers, were more central to the ongoing struggles to end Jim Crow unions than white communists or members of the CIO. Black labor activists never abandoned an economic emphasis in their campaigns as they kept “fair employment practices” alive as a demand throughout the 1940s, 1950s, and 1960s.15

“Civil rights unionism,” a term coined by labor historians Michael Honey and Robert Korstad to describe antiracist union interventions in the mid-20th century South by members of the CIO and the Communist Party USA (CP), also drew upon a venerable tradition of African American labor protest.16 The National Alliance, representing roughly one-fourth of the black postal workers, considered itself a civil rights organization and a labor union, even while other postal unions disparaged the idea.17 The National Alliance formed a bridge across the civil rights-labor divide noted in the early 1960s by NAACP executive director Roy Wilkins, who argued that the NAACP’s “primary purpose” was “to combat discrimination against Negroes” while the “primary purpose of labor organizations” was “to protect wages, hours, and working conditions of its members. Civil rights activity for them is desirable, but must be secondary.”18 The National Alliance worked closely with, but independently of, the NAACP. It also maintained a critical posture in the labor movement, such as when Elmer E. Armstead, a New York Alliance official (and NFPOC member) blasted the NFPOC in 1951 for not defending its members against McCarthyite charges of “communist infiltration.”19

Historians of private sector unions, including Thomas Sugrue, Earl Ofari Hutchinson, George Lipsitz, and David Roediger, have already noted the conflation of white supremacy and antimunism in the 1940s among white workers. They also point to vacillating positions on racial equality taken by the CP and the CIO, and the importance of African Americans initiating coalitions.20 My examination of a public sector workplace de-centers the CIO and CP in locating civil rights activism within an important labor institution that existed outside those two organizations. The post office itself was in many ways unique because most postal unions, including the NAEL and the NFPOC, were craft-based AFL affiliates, and there was only a marginal presence within postal unions of both the CIO and the CP. NAPE, one of the largest and most influential postal unions, was a civil rights union that embodied the best of the CIO and the NAACP’s programs and objectives. However, postal workers as federal employees were denied even partial collective bargaining rights until 1962, and full bargaining rights were not granted until 1970 after a nationwide postal service “wildcat strike” forced the issue.21

Postal workers had to rely on congressional lobbying (some called it “collective begging”) for pay raises and benefits, while work rules were imposed by postal office executives. Unlike private sector unions, postal unions during this period had no exclusive representation rights over the workforce, enabling the National Alliance to make the best of that limited role of congressional lobbying by elevating the fight for black equal rights above all other issues.22 Many of the failures and successes of civil rights unionism could be seen in the debates over postal union Jim Crow branches and locals, the battleground often being the floor of the biennial union conventions as well as in the pages of monthly union journals. The anticommunism that many have argued as having been mainly responsible for dividing the labor and civil rights movements, and marginalizing the left, grew primarily out of Jim Crow unions which, cloaking itself in “Americanism,” was nevertheless vulnerable to charges of “anti-Americanism” by African Americans and their allies. African American postal workers as government employees were vulnerable to anticommunist witch-hunts that often conflated civil rights with communism. But as government employee unionists, many of them also NAACP activists, they had a respected status that they used to lobby Congress and maintain pressure against Jim Crow practices in the postal system.23

Similar to conventions for private sector unions, those of the postal unions typically made policy decisions, conducted elections, and offered ritual reaffirmations of their purpose, with rousing speeches by sympathetic union and government officials. Delegates were local officers and activists. Despite NALC and NFPOC rules that provided disproportionate representation for smaller branches and locals, conventions generally had more of a democratic aspect than the unions’ publications and allowed the rank-and-file to debate and bring resolutions to the floor regarding both craft and social issues. The debates over Jim Crow branches and locals became theaters that saw militant African American postal workers such as P.M.E. Hill setting the standard for effective argument and impassioned oratory, equating the historic fight for black equal rights with the one against contemporary totalitarian regimes in Europe and Asia. Their opponents countered with ideological arguments based on 19th century tropes of “peculiar institutions,” “southern traditions,” and postwar North-South reconciliation.24

The tone of convention debates over civil rights was quite different among the unions examined here. While debate was contentious in the NALC, the National Alliance possessed a more unified anti-Jim Crow agenda. Belonging to more than one union was discouraged by virtually all union officials. But National Alliance members frequently also belonged to the NALC or NFPOC. They also played prominent roles in conventions and published debates and argued against discrimination in its many forms, not just through segregated locals. Ironically, while the NFPOC was able to limit the types of debates over segregation that occurred often at NALC meetings, the two groups wound up splitting in part over this issue in 1958. Very likely in larger percentages than in any other industry,
black postal workers were civil rights leaders and activists both on and off the shop floor. The rising level of protest against Jim Crow practices in the 1940s was not arrayed against a dying institution awaiting imminent collapse, but rather one that was stiffening its resistance, broadening its appeal, and determined to crush any incipient black-white unity. During World War II, African American activists put Jim Crow advocates on the defensive by supporting the "Double V" campaign, victory against fascism at home and abroad.24

But the anticommunist crusade, which after 1950 came to be identified with the public denunciations against "communists and fellow travelers" made by Wisconsin Republican Senator Joseph McCarthy, was a key element in right-wing activism after the war, and it promoted a type of white supremacist "Americanism," similar to the Afrikaners' nationalism in South Africa that supported the introduction of legal apartheid in 1948. Despite some federal government officials' concern over the image of the United States internationally, black postal workers were more vulnerable to charges of communist affiliation because of their involvement in the fight for equal rights for African Americans, which was characterized by conservative politicians as being "subversive." At the same time, the growing intensity of the Cold War ideological and political competition between the United States and the Soviet Union and communist bloc made the continued practice of racial segregation a major disadvantage for Western interests in the era of emerging "colored nations" and advancing decolonization globally.25

The World War II-era racial contradictions in the House of Labor included the postal unions. But the postal system was not beset by white worker "hate strikes" that were common in the private sector when African Americans attempted to enter the expanding national defense industries. The threat of a 1941 March on Washington by an all-black coalition led by A. Philip Randolph, President of the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters (BSCP), compelled President Roosevelt to issue Executive Order 8802, establishing the Fair Employment Practices Committee (FEPC) to investigate discrimination in the national defense industry, and in 1943, Executive Order 9346, which launched investigations of discrimination in federal agencies. The National Alliance alone among postal unions brought discrimination complaints before the FEPC.26 Earlier, Alliance leaders had joined other black unionists at the National Negro Congress (NNC) conventions in 1936, 1937, and 1940.27 An activist tide growing within the Alliance was empowered by the 1940 abolition of the inclusion of photographs in civil service applications that aided discriminatory practices, and it was inspired by successful CIO organizing among industrial workers. In addition to Alliance activists working within the NALC and NFPOC to overturn their Jim Crow branches and locals, Alliance conventions typically passed resolutions and planned actions against segregation. At their 1943 convention in St. Louis, the delegates voted to boycott the segregated post office cafeterias after the guest speaker, Postmaster Rufus Jackson, adamantly refused to change that policy. "We are different from other Post Office organizations," former Alliance President J. O. Gilliam defiantly told Postmaster Jackson, and then showed him the door.28 James B. Cobb, president of the Washington, DC, branch, later exclaimed: "I am glad that we are getting out of the category of a Christian Endeavor organization. . . . We ought to demand our rights because we have the power."29

Meanwhile, with its Resolutions Committee members abstaining, the NFPOC delegates to the convention in October 1941 in St. Louis dismissed without debate the motion by Cleveland Local 72 to ban "Jim Crow Locals" as "contrary to all American principles."30 Yet the same convention voted against poll taxes after hearing white delegate Philip Stough of Miami offer this dramatic recollection: "Shortly after the close of the Civil War, when the [N]egroes were given their equal political rights with the whites, many of the Southern States sought methods where they might be able to enslave the [N]egro politically. . . . I oppose the poll tax and ask you to concur with the [resolutions] committee." New York Local 10 delegate Ephraim Handman condemned "the existence of dual Locals . . . in contradiction to a number of the resolutions against discrimination which were passed with little or no debate."31 Three years later, again with the "non-concurrence" of the Resolutions Committee, the NFPOC dismissed Local 251's proposal to "consolidate dual locals." There was no debate. President Leo George defended Jim Crow locals as a local matter for members who "feel that they are promoting the interests of their members."32 But black delegate, Henry McWright, vice president of the Cleveland Alliance, speaking for the anti-poll tax resolution later approved, compared U.S. racism to fascism, promised future challenges to Jim Crow locals, and concluded simply: "There are some things that I hope will be bettered. . . ."33

Wartime national defense had unleashed productive forces in the United States and emboldened agents of social change in the African American community, including black postal workers who were integral players as activists and role models. But as African Americans were moving forward, the white majorities in the postal unions were moving backwards, as were most American unions, acquiescing to the racial and political repression in the workplace. The collapse of Jim Crow postal unionism, however, can be traced through two "eras of activism": the post-World War II, early Cold War era, followed by the period between the 1954 U.S. Supreme Court Brown v. Board of Education decision striking down segregated public schools, and President John F. Kennedy's 1962 Executive Order 10988 allowing partial collective bargaining for government employees belonging to non-discriminatory unions.
The Journal of African American History

FIGHTING JIM CROW LOCALS IN THE EMERGING COLD WAR ERA, 1945–1953

Anticommunism at the workplace and in the union hall gained ground in the late 1940s and early 1950s with the passage of the anti-union Taft-Hartley Act that, among other things, revoked government recognition of unions that refused to expel officials who were also CP members. This 1947 law led to the CIO and other federal offices purging communist-dominated unions. That same year President Harry S. Truman’s Executive Order 9835 mandated “loyalty oaths” for government employees and allowed the removal of those who participated in alleged “communist” activities. The major postal unions were quick to proclaim their loyalty and determination to help root out communists even before Truman’s loyalty oath was issued, and within the postal system only the National Alliance and its allies, mainly all-white postal union members, raised serious objections to both Jim Crow and “red-hunting” throughout the McCarthy era. While the NALC rejected all pro-equal rights resolutions outright, the NFPOC leadership paid lip service to these measures while simultaneously committing the organization to anticommunist purges within the labor movement. Both the NALC and NFPOC followed the AFL in supporting the federal government’s “loyalty probe” in 1946.

After the war, despite discrimination in hiring, returning black veterans were able to gain greater access to the postal service through hiring preferences for veterans. They also demanded civil rights inside and outside the workplace. The National Alliance’s emphasis on worker education also put its members in touch with leftist CIO activists for joint workshops, even as CIO convention delegates typically booted positive references to that insurgent federation. The NAACP was also a regular presence at Alliance conventions. And contrary to the perspectives offered by Robert Korstad and Nelson Lichtenstein, historian Richard Thomas pointed out that for black postal employees and other workers, “the NAACP had rocked their cradle and fought their battles long before the white-dominated labor movement had any use for them.” The story of civil rights unionism also requires a reevaluation of the role of the NAACP. Snow Grigsby, for example, who served as the editor of the Postal Alliance, and wrote articles and editorials that reflected Alliance organizing and activism in local branches, was also a longtime member of the Detroit NAACP, then the largest branch nationally. In addition, Snow and others also formed a Civic Rights Committee in Detroit to pursue more militant, autonomous action. This setting up of parallel civil rights organizations, while defying at times NAACP national office directives against armed self-defense, working with communists, or the use of direct action, seems to have been a common practice by local NAACP activists in the postwar era. Henry Wheeler of St. Louis, Frank Barnes of Los Angeles, John LeFlore of Mobile, and John Wesley Dobbs of Atlanta were among the independent-minded black postal unionists who also belonged to the NAACP and involved themselves in its voter registration drives and court cases. Orel McGhee of Detroit took his NAACP-sponsored case all the way to the U.S. Supreme Court where it served as the companion case to the landmark 1948 Shelley v. Kraemer decision overturning restrictive covenants in housing deeds and contracts. Herman Marion Sweatt of Houston, plaintiff in the 1950 Sweatt v. Painter decision desegregating the University of Texas School of Law, along with Wheeler, Barnes, LeFlore, Dobbs, and McGhee were all National Alliance members.

In 1946 an article published in the Pittsburgh Courier highlighted some major differences between the National Alliance and the NALC. Whereas NALC members at their national convention that year rejected resolutions calling for the abolition of Jim Crow branches, opposing lynching, and supporting the restoration of the FEPC now that the war was over, at the Alliance regional convention in Norfolk, Virginia, a resolution passed protesting the layoffs of women postal workers, especially African Americans, who had been restricted to “war service appointments.” The NALC’s Postal Record only carried convention summaries, and it was noted that the three amendments to alter or abolish “dual charters” had been offered. These were opposed by members of the Constitution Committee, and they all were rejected—one “after considerable discussion.”

Meanwhile, within the NFPOC the issue of Jim Crow locals that had survived challenges in 1941 and 1944 was back on the table at the 1946 convention in Milwaukee. Black delegate Charles O. Maxwell, later vice president for New York’s Local No. 10 and an Alliance officer, joined others in sponsoring resolutions against discrimination in the Armed Services and for federal antilynching legislation, and both passed. New York Local 10, along with Brooklyn Local 251, were members of the pro-democracy, anti-Jim Crow “Progressive-Fed” caucus in the NFPOC, which emerged at this convention and successfully sponsored a resolution opposing racial exclusion and segregation by AFL affiliates. But when a resolution was proposed calling for the integration of NFPOC locals, the Resolutions Committee once again opposed barring “dual locals” because committee members felt that the loss of dual locals would be a loss to the membership. However, the black delegates from Local 148 in Washington, DC (a “dual local”), recommended an “amicable solution for abolition of dual Locals wherever they exist between officials of such Locals, and no separate charter shall ever again be granted.” This resolution, favoring what they termed “social movement from below,” not “reforms from above,” was unanimously adopted as a “compromise.”

Even before the anticommunist purges of federal workers sparked by President Truman’s loyalty oath, black postal workers were harassed for civil rights activity that some government officials associated with “communism.” The 1947 National
Alliance convention in Cleveland discussed the cases of Joseph Bryant and John LeFlore. Bryant, a clerk and Cleveland Alliance member, was framed for overcharging a customer after he had been assigned to the previously all-white Shaker Square Post Office. He was defended by the leftist Civil Rights Congress (CRC), and his 1948 acquittal energized the local Alliance branch. LeFlore was a Mobile Alliance and NAACP member exonerated in 1946 on charges of violating both the Hatch Act and Civil Code for “unusual political activity” for trying to register African Americans to vote in Alabama. LeFlore was defended by a leading Alliance attorney, William C. Jason, Jr., the outspoken postal union activist.45

African Americans who belonged to the postal unions took great personal and career risks in publicly exposing the political connections between the supporters of Jim Crow and anticommunism. For example, Brooklyn letter carrier Fred H. M. Turner, former president of the local NAACP and Alliance branches, was threatened with dismissal because at one time in the 1930s he was a member of the National Negro Congress (NNC). Although Turner was ultimately cleared by the Loyalty Review Board, in part due to outside public support, it has been reported that many other postal workers, similarly charged on such shaky grounds, were not so lucky.46 The harassment was aimed at entire organizations such as the Alliance as well as at selected leftists and even liberals, primarily to create fear and distrust among those who might think about engaging in pro-civil rights activity or associating with those groups or individuals. For instance, Bertram A. Washington, the Cleveland Alliance branch president, NAACP official, and a member of the NNC and the Civil Rights Congress, was charged with disloyalty. After acknowledging membership in the CRC and NNC, Washington used his postal Loyalty Review Board hearing in 1948 not only to assert his innocence, but also to accuse the local post office and the Cleveland branch of the NFPoC of discrimination. Washington charged “that it was the N.A.P.E.’s fight for Negro job rights in the post office and against racial discrimination that led to the disloyalty charges.”47 Not only did the anticommunist activism lead to a decrease in labor union organizing in the late 1940s, “civil rights unionism” took several steps backward as well.

Throughout that postwar period the Postal Alliance monitored the civil rights policies of various postal unions. The coverage of the 1948 NALC convention in Miami made it clear that social activities were segregated, and revealed that African American carriers in the nation’s capital continued to refuse to join the NALC until it banned its Jim Crow branches.48 NALC’s Postal Record blandly informed its members in November that its 1948 convention had turned back the effort led by the all-black Norfolk Branch 525 to abolish separate charters.49 According to the Postal Alliance, however, it was a “heated session,” where the white delegates defeated resolutions to ban Jim Crow locals and to keep conventions out of racially segregated cities. The branch integration resolution was introduced by the New York City and eleven other northern branches.50

In defending the “success” of the “separate charter situation,” NALC President William Doherty observed, “I suppose it has been debated endlessly in all democratic forms of government since long before . . . the Civil War. It is just one of those things. I say definitely that there are sins on both sides of this question and the figures speak for themselves.”51 However, official segregation was only then recently institutionalized in the NALC and the support for it reached its peak in 1948 when southern Democrats bolted the party and formed the National States’ Rights Party (NSRP) or Dixiecrats. In some cities without separate branches, whites often simply forced African Americans out of the older integrated group, and this practice of separatism in the NALC had the blessings of many NALC delegates, in the North and South. Indeed, NALC President Doherty proudly pointed to the newly formed separate branches in Jackson, Charleston, Memphis, Norfolk, New Orleans, Atlanta, Montgomery, and Washington, DC. Separate charters were later issued to Birmingham and Mobile, Alabama; Jacksonville and St. Petersburg, Florida; Albany, Georgia; Baton Rouge and Shreveport, Louisiana; Houston and Lubbock, Texas; and Portsmouth, Virginia.52

At the same time, some southern white delegates such as Lucius Cowan of Hattiesburg, Mississippi’s NALC Branch 938 resurrected the hoary charge that white carriers in Jackson had been denied NALC membership by African Americans until separate charters were instituted.53 During the 1948 debate that saw Jim Crow solidified in the NALC, Norfolk’s M. E. Diggs, an Alliance member, asked poignantly, “What is democracy except equality?”54 Alliance members’ great emphasis on economic and equal rights issues in the 1940s was combined with the pro-labor upsurge within the NAACP.55 The Alliance’s legal and lobbying efforts paralleled those of the NAACP, pushing Walter White, Roy Wilkins, and other officers to support issues of economic equality. In 1948 John T. Hall, Los Angeles postal supervisor and member of the local Alliance “Pay-raise committee,” asked Walter White and the national office to endorse a congressional bill raising postal salaries.56 Alliance members and those in other labor and civic groups attempted to put pressure on President Truman to support civil rights and labor issues.57

By 1950 the Alliance found itself conducting a balancing act of praising President Truman’s steps to halt Jim Crow, while criticizing his strident anticommunist measures, especially the 1947 government employee loyalty oath. The National Alliance was one of few unions or civil rights organizations that continued to oppose loyalty oaths and lobbied to change or abolish them, while the NAACP, AFL, CIO, and other labor unions went from condemning these practices to acquiescing in, and even joining anticommunist witch-hunts. Alliance conventions continued to host prominent postal officials and African American professionals who praised the group’s civil rights activism and union organizing.58
With the 1951 outbreak of the Korean conflict, those attacking Jim Crow within the NALC and the NFPOC invoked the war and compared segregated postal union branches and locals to totalitarian communism, just as they had previously likened segregated branches to fascism in Europe and Japan during World War II.95

The battle over Jim Crow branches was on again at the NALC's 1952 national convention held in New York City, and the local branches' opponents were blamed for creating the turmoil. President Doherty, members of the Board of Laws, and white delegates from around the country either continued to defend segregation, or voted to table the proposed constitutional ban brought by delegate Philip Lepper, a member of the national executive board, and president of the NALC's largest branch, New York's Branch 36. Attempting to link moral appeals to American values, Lepper asked rhetorically, "Can there be compromise with human rights?"96 Claude E. Sullivan from Atlanta's black Branch 172 challenged Doherty's charges that African American branches practiced racial exclusion. "[H]e said nothing about sin in the other house. If there was sin on our side of the house, he said nothing about it... We, as Branch 172, will take anybody, regardless of race, creed or color. Just a few years back we took in nearly 100 white carriers in our branch."97 Max Butler from New Orleans's all-black Branch 3866 supported Sullivan's challenge and called for abolition of the "so-called precious 'traditions' of Jim Crow" that were "fast disappearing with the march of American progress."98 But these efforts were in vain. "[T]he convention agreed that the committee is sustained," announced Doherty to cheers and applause, "and I so rule."99 Sullivan responded bitterly, "I want you to know that we shall ever and ever and on and on fight until this wrong is righted."100 Frank B. Harris, a black letter carrier from the Norfolk Branch 525, declared, " Fellow delegates, one hundred years from [the Civil War] finds us so stupid as to be arguing the same question now, " but many in the audience booed him and voted the resolution down.101 The *Official Proceedings* for the convention noted the movement on to other business, but the NALC's *Postal Record* reported that "a spirited discussion" then ensued on dual charters, just as it had in 1948.102 As soon as the resolution supporting Jim Crow locals was upheld in 1952, African American letter carriers walked out, but they indicated their determination to keep fighting.103 One positive event occurred in 1953 when AFL convention delegates endorsed a resolution opposing the maintenance of segregated unions.

THE LAST DAYS OF JIM CROW POSTAL UNIONS, 1954-1962

Expectations among black postal union activists rose with the U.S. Supreme Court’s *Brown* decision and the fall of Sen. Joe McCarthy in 1954. This was followed by presidential executive orders and civil rights laws during the Eisenhower administration and antidiscrimination executive orders from President John F. Kennedy that were the most far-reaching since the New Deal. Unfortunately, foot-dragging on the abolition of Jim Crow locals became the main response among postal unions and in organized labor in general, even as pro-integration resolutions were being passed. African Americans and their allies in the various postal unions used direct attacks on Jim Crow and its compromises in order to weaken it and slow it down, while the Alliance consistently reported these battles and intervened in support of individual members. *Postal Alliance*, the monthly journal, contextualized and compared African American labor struggles of the past that were the result of organized labor's failure to commit to equal rights and treatment.104

The 1954 NFPOC convention in Cincinnati approved a resolution "requesting that [its] national officers make a determined effort to bring about the consolidation of existing dual locals." An even more far-reaching resolution was passed that summer by the NALC.105 The final vote of 596-450 reflected the modern Civil Rights Movement gaining ground against stubborn opposition within organized labor. One African American delegate noted that NALC President Doherty, who had promoted Jim Crow branches for the previous decade, had "gone on record" at the 1953 AFL convention in support of integrated unionism.106 NALC anti-"dual charter" resolutions were no longer buried in convention resolutions as they had been in the past. Even the Board of Laws changed its usual "disapproval" to a "neutral" position. NALC's *Postal Record* reported, "One of the most important decisions before the convention was first on the Board of Laws agenda—the question of separate charters."107 Yet it was Claude E. Sullivan, the black NALC delegate from Atlanta, who moved to strike the proposed amendment and substitute one of his own, which preserved existing dual charters while preventing future ones.108 However, Clarence Acox from New Orleans's all-black Branch 3866 objected to this watered-down amendment: "We, being employees of the federal government... we must set the pattern for all workers throughout America."109 The convention heard a motion for tabling the measure from a white delegate from Miami, and seconded by one from Nashville. That motion lost a close vote of 499-578. On the next vote for Claude Sullivan's amendment, a voice vote was taken and the convention moved on.110 Was this a missed opportunity? Perhaps. But Acox's amendment ending separate charters may not have had the votes to assure passage. Sullivan's "half a loaf is better than none" tactic is also understandable given his personal stake in passing something immediately. "I am an old man," Sullivan mused, "and I have been a member of this Association for thirty-two years... So if we will get rid of this thing, I can die in peace."111 Many applauded this dramatic declaration and it was a blow against fortress Jim Crow. But this vote sidestepped the issue of the existing "dual charters" and helped postpone their abolition altogether in the NALC until 1962.112

The NFPOC convention debates over Jim Crow locals never approached the
passion and drama found at the NALC meetings. But in 1958 alienation from the NFPOC’s undemocratic procedures and Jim Crow locals spurred its left-wing delegates to walk out of the August convention, an incident that later became known as the “Boston Tea Party.” It was unprecedented. For the NFPOC secessionists, it was gratifying at their first convention in May 1959, now as the “National Postal Clerks Union,” to be greeted by Robert L. White, DC Alliance branch president, who declared, “I am glad to see this organization formed.” The following year the National Postal Clerks Union became the National Postal Union (NPu), a better reflection of its industrial character—having taken about one-fifth of NFPOC’s membership from New York, Washington, DC, the Northeast, Midwest, and Far West. The members of the New York local, NPu’s largest, had long opposed Jim Crow locals and the loyalty oaths for which the leading union members were rebaited, as would occur later within the NPu by former NFPOC members. Historian David Roediger has been critical of the CIO’s “nonracial socialism” or the idea that integrated workplaces and unions were sufficient to unite African American and white workers. With African Americans making up 25 percent or more of the membership, the NPu embraced what could be called “interracial socialism,” the idea that unions must be opposed to all barriers to working-class unity. The NPu members declared from the beginning: “There shall be no more than one local of this Union within any one postal installation.”

The struggle over Jim Crow was still raging, however, in the summer of 1960 at the NALC convention in Cincinnati, where New York Branch 36 brought a resolution to the floor calling for the abolition of remaining “dual charters.” This move was inspired by the Supreme Court’s Brown decision, the commitment coming from the 1958 convention to explore postal union amalgamation, and from NALC’s separate black locals. In 1960 the New York branch’s resolution was supported by Harold Lebowe from NALC’s Cleveland Branch 40 who called for the appointment of a committee to carry out the dissolution process, and was also backed by Garrett Taylor from DC’s all-black Branch 4022. A decade earlier the NALC convention floor was dominated by vocal supporters of Jim Crow; in 1960 Ralph Seery from the all-white Norfolk Branch 3947 was alone at the microphone objecting to the idea that Branch 3947 and Branch 525 would be consolidated. But even William Doherty ruled Seery out of order, and the measure passed. The “Committee on Separate Charters” was formed and was authorized to meet with the leadership of the segregated branches to facilitate their dissolution, starting with Washington, DC.

In 1960 the Alliance strategy to defeat Jim Crow postal unionism and promote postal labor representation included lobbying Senator John Kennedy en route to his election to the presidency. As a senator, Kennedy had supported the Rhodes-Johnston bill extending limited collective bargaining rights to federal unions; once elected, he preempted the bill’s imminent passage by issuing Executive Order (EO) 10988 on 17 January 1962, which contained tougher language against racial discrimination. The order denied recognition to any federal employees’ union “which discriminates with regard to the terms or conditions of membership because of race, color, creed or national origin.” Rhodes-Johnston, co-sponsored by South Carolina’s arch-segregationist Democrat Olin Johnston, said nothing about union integration, nor did Minnesota Senator Hubert H. Humphrey, also a Democrat and one of the bill’s liberal sponsors during floor debate. In addition, Rhodes-Johnston provided for a “winner-take-all” employee referendum system for choosing a national collective bargaining agent for each craft. Had such an election been held and gone against the smaller independent industrial unions such as the National Alliance and the NPu, it would have stripped these groups of any representation. The Alliance had long objected to “exclusive” private sector union representation enshrined in the 1935 National Labor Relations Act (NLRA) that privileged large white unions—many of which excluded or discriminated against African American workers and their organizations at that time.

Like other postal unions, the Alliance expressed cautious praise for EO 10988, given its prohibition against discrimination and segregation by any federal employee union desiring official recognition, and its assurance that “no Federal Agency is to operate as a closed shop.” EO 10988 granted collective bargaining rights to all postal workers, but avoided “winner-take-all” contests by allowing “exclusive,” “formal,” and “informal” categories for official recognition on a “national,” “regional,” and “local” basis. The Alliance preferred “formal” recognition for all unions, and not divisions along craft lines that could potentially lead to domination by the larger unions. That summer’s voting by postal workers allowed the Alliance and the NPu to maintain “formal” representation, as well as the opportunity to enter contests at the local and regional levels for “exclusive” representation.

Also in 1962, the end of Jim Crow locals finally came to the letter carriers’ union. On 6 September 1962, at the NALC convention in Denver, the Committee on Separate Charters cited “moral” mandates from NALC and AFL-CIO members to abolish segregation and end discrimination. A white delegate from the recently merged Montgomery Branch 106 then objected, pointing out that the members of the Committee on Separate Charters had never even left the District of Columbia. In response, committee chair Lloyd Nowak noted that they had run into strong white resistance in Washington, DC, in March 1961, which was the first test run in trying to merge the two branches. This prompted the NALC executive council to issue a directive on 14 April 1962 merging all remaining separate branches nationwide; this order affected over 5,000 members. The Committee’s report praised President Doherty and the executive council for having acted well in advance of President
Kennedy's EO 10988 taking effect. Interestingly, in cities that formerly had dual branches, membership substantially increased after merging, despite dire predictions of "white flight." In addition to Washington, DC, and Montgomery, Alabama, other branches that had to surrender separate charters were located in southern cities with large African American populations, and they were oftentimes experiencing civil rights activism. In every case but Birmingham, these cities witnessed substantial African American in-migration, including Mobile, Jacksonville, St. Petersburg, Albany, Atlanta, Greenville, Charleston, Memphis, Houston, Lubbock, Norfolk, Portsmouth, and Shreveport. When the all-white letter carriers locals in New Orleans and Baton Rouge refused to surrender their charters, they had to be replaced and restored by integrated branches. As early as March 1961 the members of NALC's Committee on Separate Charters were feeling the pressure coming from the Kennedy administration and the issuing of Executive Order 10925 (1961) that required the NALC and other postal unions to file "Non-Discrimination Compliance Reports," and the postmaster general's prohibitions on discrimination in promotions. However, it has been suggested that "the greatest pressure came from the denial of contracting authority to any organization practicing discrimination in connection with . . . the Federal Employees' Health Benefits Act." No evidence has been found of African American objections to branch-mergers within the NALC. Two of the five members of the Committee on Separate Charters were African American: Oscar A. Durant, an Alliance official from New York, and Walter Samples from Mobile, Alabama.

After the "Progressive Feds" seceded in 1958, the NFPOC merged in 1960 with the more conservative United National Association of Post Office Craftsmen (UNAPOC) to become the United Federation of Postal Clerks (UFPC). In the process the group appeared to have abolished all segregated locals, while capturing "exclusive" representation among postal clerks, except for the anticiast NPU in New York whose members charged in July 1961 that "at least one major postal union—the former NFPOC—has dual locals." The National Alliance, after twenty-two years of civil rights and labor activism beginning in 1940 with the campaign to end discriminatory civil service photo applications, was now able to compete for representation nationwide. Miami Alliance official Sam Armstrong recalled, "And then came Executive Order 10988 . . . and only then did the NALC decide, 'we'll accept [integration] . . . but you cannot go to any social functions . . .'" He added that, while previously there had been a segregated, partitioned cafeteria in the Miami post office, by the 1960s "the Alliance got that wall removed." In an interview, Atlanta Alliance official Samuel Lovett, a college graduate and military service veteran, proudly recalled the combining of African American labor activism and civil rights litigation in defeating Jim Crow, noting, "The Alliance always worked within the framework of the law. It was the [postal agency that worked outside of the law, [promoting] segregation and discrimination . . ."

CONCLUSION

Looking back at the 1939 NALC convention debate, it is remarkable to see how much support an African American southerner, P.M.E. Hill, received in his denunciation of Jim Crow practices in the postal unions. His convention floor invocation of the Civil War and African Americans' crucial role in the victory over slavery was a vital piece of historical memory tying past social movements with modern civil rights and labor struggles. It also forced J. M. Bistowshi, a staunch proponent of Jim Crow, to gingerly couch his arguments in opportunistic economic terms, even as he invoked the standard narrative of southern white victimhood in the Civil War and Reconstruction. This was the year of the popular Civil War film, Gone with the Wind, which won the Academy Award for Best Picture. Yet the day before the convention began, on 2 September 1939, France and Britain had declared war on Germany after Nazi forces had invaded Poland. German aggression gave American civil rights advocates ammunition to attack white supremacy as un-American and fascist. While mainstream postal unions maintained the status quo during World War II, and afterwards with the start of the Cold War, those fighting for equal rights were put on the defensive and labeled "subversive." However, the campaign to abolish Jim Crow postal union branches and locals was part of the larger movement to end official segregation and racial discrimination throughout the postal system and in its unions. Indeed, white supremacy and anticommunism worked together to forestall Jim Crow's abolition in postal and other federal unions another decade.

In the National Alliance as well as in the NALC, NFPOC, and NPU, however, African American postal workers brought civil rights activism into the labor movement, and labor issues into the civil rights campaigns. They were part of the continuity that in a sense suggest a "long civil rights movement." But as historians Sundiata Keita Cha-Jua and Clarence Lang point out, Jacquelyn Dowd Hall and others' argument for a "long civil rights movement" is problematic "because it collapses periodization schemas, erases conceptual differences between waves of the [Black Freedom Movement], and blurs the regional distinctions in the African American experience." No matter how divisive and demonizing the postwar red-baiting was, an alternative labor-left movement, with substantial African American leadership, did not completely die, but managed to survive the anticommunist onslaught. By 1962 interest convergence had emerged in Washington, DC, the Congress, the Kennedy administration, and the postal unions sought to transform the post office into a model workplace. Despite being red-baited by opponents for over a decade, activist black postal workers and their allies made elimination of
segregation and discrimination part of the modernization of the postal workplace. They did so in part by successfully exploiting the desire on the part of the U.S. government and organized labor to improve the image of the United States abroad.

P.M. Hill’s impassioned and eloquent rebuke of postal union segregation at the 1939 NALC convention in Milwaukee tied the early 20th-century anti-Jim Crow movement to the ongoing struggle against white supremacy. Hill and other black postal unionists pointed out that allowing African Americans to enlist in the Union Army in 1863 paved the way for the abolition of slavery in the United States. And those same postal union activists had no qualms comparing Jim Crow postal unionism to southern secession in the 19th century and fascist totalitarianism in the 20th. African American postal activists were consistent participants in leftist and labor coalitions that sought progressive social change in early and mid-20th-century American society.
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